PHOTOCHEMICAL REACTIONS OF DIMETHYLANILINE IN THE PRESENCE OF ACIDS

Chyongjin Pat and Hiroshi Sakurai

The Institute of Scientific and Industrial Research, Osaka University Higashiasakaysmacho, Sakai, Osaka, Japan

(Received in Japan 2 November 1967)

Although there have been various spectroscopic investigations on the photochemical primary processes of aromatic amines (1 - **5>, less** is known on the reactivitiee of simple aromatic amines in an electronically excited state. The present report deals with the photochemical reactions of dimethylaniline (DMA) in the presence of acids giving phenyl radicals which are generated through charge transfer from excited DhA to anilinium cation.

Degaseed samples of neat DNA and its ethanolic solution in a quartz tube were not significantly changed by a prolonged irradiation under the conditions investigated. $\check{ }$ When acetic acid was added to DMA, the solution was turned to a brownish or a blue color by the photolysis, and appreciable amounts of products could be obtained. Table I shows the results with acetic and formic acids. In a typical run, an ethanolic solution of DMA (0.04 mole) and hydrogen chloride (0.012 mole) in a quartz tube was irradiated for 25 hr., and there were obtained benzene (26% yield), diphenyl (21%), p-dimethylaminodiphenyl (4%), bis(p-dimethylaminophenyl)methane (8%), and crystal violet (18%) at 60% conversion of DMA. The structure and yield of crystal

1865

Unless otherwise noted, the photolyses were carried out at room temperature in a quartz or a pyrex tube by a high pressure mercury arc. All of the samples were degassed.

violet were determined by comparison of the visible absorption spectrum of the photolysate with that of crystal violet, since the former spectrum was superimposable on the latter. The products III and IV were identified by di-

rect comparison of the spectral (ir, uv, and nmr) data and of the melting points with those of the respective authentic samples and by the elemental analyses. The yields of II, III and IV were determined by uv-spectroscopic and gravinometric methods, while those of benzene were done by gas chromatographic measurements. phenyl radicals were generated. The formation of benzene and diphenyl clearly shows that

TABLE I

Acid			DMA	Irradia-	Vessel ^a		Products			
				tion time		I	IJ	III	ΙV	.ზ)
			ε.	hr.				mg.		
ACOH		1g.	5	25	Q	$_{\text{ND}}^{\text{c}}$	ND	40	220	
		2g.	4	25	Q	ND	ND	70	320	۰
		20 ml.	5	30	Q	100	32	100	250	
		10 ml.	-2	29	Р	70	25	22	300	
$HCO2$ H		1g.	5	25	Q	ND	ND	210	350	۰
		5g.	5	31	Q	ND	ND	200	200	
		10 ml.	2	53	P	-				

a) Q denotes a quartz tube and P a pyrex one.
b) The plus sign denotes the formation of cry

The plus sign denotes the formation of crystal violet, while the minus sign shows no observation of the formation.

c) Not determined.

The photolysis of DMA containing an excess of hydrogen chloride in a quartz tube for 50 hr. afforded benzene in 50% yield at 10% conversion, but in a Pyrex tube gave rise to no reaction. In this case, dimethylanilinium chloride (DMAH) was present as the sole photo-reactive species. When a leas amount of hydrogen chloride was used than that of DMA, benzene was obtained by the photolysis in a pyrex tube. Since the pyrex glass cut off the light below 2800 A, only DMA absorbed the incident light. Table II shows that the amount of benzene formed increases with the increase of the acid concentration. Therefore, it might be concluded that the source of the phenyl radical was DMAH, and that DMA functioned as a "sensitizer" for the decomposition of DMAH. Similarly, trimethylanilinium bromide (TMB) and iodide (TMI) were decomposed by the sensitization of DMA.

TABLE II* $HCI \qquad 2 \times 10^{-4} \qquad 5 \times 10^{-4} \text{ l} \times 10^{-3} \qquad 2 \times 10^{-3}$ TMB (mole) 2×10^{-4} 1 x 10^{-3} TMI 1×10^{-3} Ph-H (mg.) 10 10 25 40 40 40 65 Solutions were prepared from 0.017 mole of DMA and the listed amounts of HCl, TMB and TM1 dissolved in a mixed solvent (5 ml. of 2-propanol $+$ 5 ml. of \underline{t} -butanol $+$ 2 ml. of water) and were photolyzed in a pyrex tube for 29 hours.

On the baaia of the energy relationships between the excited states of DMA and of the anilinium cations (AN) used, however, a simple excitation transfer from an excited DMA to AN might be highly unlikely for the sensitization effect of DMA. The uv-absorption spectra of AN were essentially superimposable on that of benzene, while DNA had the longest absorption band at 300 my. The O-O band of the phosphorescence spectra of DMA has been reported to lie at 26,650 cm⁻¹ (ca. 76 kcal/mole) (6). Although the energy level of the lowest triplet state of AN is not known, it may be best approximated by that of toluene (83 kcal/mole) (7). At any rate, the lowest excited singlet and triplet states of DMA are probable to be energetically lower than

 N o.15 1867

 1868 No.15

the respective states of AN. Thus either the singlet or the triplet excitation transfer may be endothermic and may rarely occur, though such a mechanism can not be totally excluded.

The fluorescence of DMA in ethanol was quenched with TMB (Table III). Since the ultraviolet absorption spectra of DMA were not changed in the presence of TMB up to the concentration of 5×10^{-3} M, the quenching with TMB is dynamic and shows that there is an interaction of TMB with the lowest excited singlet state of DMA.

TABLE III^{a)}

TMB x 10^3 M 0 1.33 6.65 13 26 100
 F_0/F ^{b)} 1 1.074 1.32 1.47 2.43 very la 1 1.074 1.32 1.47 2.43 very large a> Ethanolic solutions of DMA (0.1 M) containing various amounts of TMB were excited at 300 mpat room temperature. TMB had no absorption above 290 mm. The solutions were not degassed. b) F_0 and F denote the emission strengths at 348 m μ in the absence of and the presence of TMB, respectively.

There have been appeared several reports on the spectroscopic investigations of heteropolar excimers, and it has been known that highly polar solvents facilitate electron transfer in heteropolar excimers and only the quenching of the fluorescence from a fluorescer can be observed $(8, 9)$. Thus all of the results obtained are consistent with the electron transfer mechanism comprising the formation of the charge transfer complex (a heteropolar excimer) between DMA in the lowest excited singlet state and AN in the ground state. A simple mechanism for the formation of the products is depicted as follows.

Primary Processes

Secondem Processes

The secondary processes 5, 6, 7, 9 and 10 are well known. Details of the processes 8 and 11 can not be drawn at the present stage and are now under investigation.

Recently, the photochemistry of trimethylanilinium salts has been reported by Walsh and Long (10). The photolysis of the anilinium salts in methanol affords benzene in good yields through charge transfer caused by the photo-excitation of a charge transfer complex which forms in the ground state between an anion and the anilinium cation. An important result supporting the charge transfer mechanism is that the photolysis of trimethylanilinium salts is most effective in the presence of iodide anion which is well known to be readily oxidized. However, it can not be totally excluded that, since intersystem crossing to triplet state may be expected to be enhanced by the heavy atom effect of iodide anion, the photolysis will occur through the triplet state. On the basis of this point, it is of interest to note that toluene, p-xylene, and anisole also "sensitized" the decomposition of DMAH, because both of the electron transfer and the triplet excitation transfer mechanism may be quite possible for the sensitization effect of the above three aromatic compounds on account of their high triplet energy (11).

In summary, it is emphasized that the excited singlet state of DMA has an ability to donate the electron to AN under the conditions where the ground state DMA can not do so.

References

- 1) G. N. Lewis and D. Lipkin, <u>J. Am. Chem. Soc</u>., $\underline{64}$, 2801 (1942).
- 2) W. A. Gibbons, G. Porter and M. I. Savadatti, Nature, 206, 1356 (1965) .
- 3) K. D. Cadogon and A. C. Albrecht, <u>J. Chem. Phys</u>., $\underline{43}$, 2550 (1965).
- 4) H. I. Joschek and L. I. Grossweiner, <u>J. Am. Chem. Soc</u>., <u>88</u>, 3261 (1966); Y. Nakato, N. Yamamoto and H. Tsubomura, Bull. Chem. Sot. $\frac{1}{2}$ Japan, $\frac{40}{2}$, 2480 (1967).
- 5) G. Porter and E. Strachan, <u>Trans. Farady Soc</u>., 54, 1595 (1958); G. Porter and F. J. Wright, $\underline{\text{ibid}}, \underline{\text{51}}, 1205$ (1955).
- 6) Y. Gondo and Y. Kanda, <u>Mem. Fac. Kyusyu Univ</u>., <u>Ser. C5</u>, 133 (1964).
- 7) D. F. Evans, <u>J. Chem. Soc</u>., 2753 (1959).
- 8) H. Leonhardt and A. Weller, <u>Ber. Bunsenges. Physik. Chem</u>., <u>67, Journal Chem.</u> 791 (1963).
- 9) K. Kaneta and M. Koizumi, <u>Bull. Chem. Soc. Japan, 40</u>, 2254 (1967).
- 10) T. D. Walsh and R. C. Long, <u>J. Am. Chem. Soc</u>., 89, 3943 (1967).
- 11) The lowest triplet state of anisole can be approximately represented by that of phenol (12) which lies in the range of 82 kcal/mole.
- 12) N.J. Turro, Molecular Photochemistry, p. 132, W.A. Benjamin Inc., New York, N. Y. (1965).